DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.12951

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Plant functional diversity modulates global environmental change effects on grassland productivity

Zhuwen Xu^{1,2} | Mai-He Li^{1,3} | Niklaus E. Zimmermann³ | Shao-Peng Li² | Hui Li¹ | Haiyan Ren⁴ | Hao Sun¹ | Xingguo Han^{1,5} | Yong Jiang¹ | Lin Jiang²

¹Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, China

²School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

³Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland

⁴Key Laboratory of Grassland Resources, Ministry of Education, College of Grassland, Resources and Environment, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot, China

⁵State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Correspondence

Yong Jiang Email: jiangyong@iae.ac.cn and Lin Jiang Email: lin.jiang@biology.gatech.edu

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 31361123001, 31370009 and 41371251; National Key Research and Development Program of China, Grant/Award Number: 2016YFC0500707; National Science Foundation of USA, Grant/Award Number: DEB-1342754

Handling Editor: Andy Hector

Abstract

- 1. Although much research has explored changes in ecosystem functions associated with global environmental changes, the mechanistic pathways behind the observed changes remain poorly understood.
- 2. Using an 11-year experiment that increased growing season precipitation and nitrogen deposition in a temperate steppe, we explored the relative importance of direct and indirect environmental change effects on plant primary productivity.
- 3. We show that increases in water and nitrogen availability influenced plant productivity via both direct and indirect pathways. While both treatments stimulated plant productivity, changes in plant productivity cannot be explained by observed changes in species or phylogenetic diversity. Instead, the indirect effects of water and nitrogen addition were through their positive effects on plant functional diversity. Importantly, while the increase in one component of functional diversity (community-level weighted mean of plant stature) resulted in increased productivity, the increase in another component of functional diversity (functional dispersion) resulted in decreased productivity.
- 4. *Synthesis*. Our study provides the first evidence for the opposite effects of community-weighted means and functional dispersion of plant functional traits on grassland productivity and highlights the importance of both traits of dominant species and trait distribution among species in modulating the effects of global changes on ecosystem functions.

KEYWORDS

functional dispersion, functional traits, global change ecology, nitrogen deposition, phylogenetic diversity, precipitation, species richness, temperate steppe

1 | INTRODUCTION

The past few decades have witnessed an ever-increasing influence of human activities on the biosphere (Vitousek, Mooney, Lubchenco, & Melillo, 1997). Ongoing global environmental changes, such as alterations of the nitrogen cycle (Vitousek, Aber, et al., 1997) and precipitation patterns (Zhang et al., 2007), have triggered two lines of productive research aiming to understand their consequences for the Earth's ecosystems. Ecosystem ecologists have investigated how global change factors alter various ecosystem functions and services that are essential for the well-being of humanity (Cramer et al., 2001; Schröter et al., 2005). In parallel, community ecologists, concerned over the possibility that anthropogenic biodiversity loss may be detrimental to ecosystems, have strived to understand the relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning (hereafter BEF; Cardinale et al., 2012; Tilman, Isbell, & Cowles, 2014). While these efforts have proven fruitful, we still lack a mechanistic understanding of how ecosystems respond to global environmental changes (Cardinale et al., 2012), limiting our ability to project ecosystem performance under future global change scenarios.

Several factors have contributed to our limited knowledge on mechanisms underlying ecosystem responses to global changes. First, global environmental changes may influence ecosystem properties directly via altering species metabolism and physiology and indirectly via altering biodiversity (Díaz et al., 2007). Widespread ongoing changes in biodiversity, both globally (Pereira et al., 2010; Pimm et al., 2014) and locally (Gonzalez et al., 2016; Sax & Gaines, 2003), make it imperative to consider biodiversity-mediated global change effects (Díaz et al., 2007). However, few global change studies have explicitly considered both direct and indirect pathways (Díaz et al., 2007; Isbell et al., 2013). In fact, despite several notable exceptions (e.g. Reich et al., 2001), the fields of global change and BEF research have developed largely independent of each other, although they shared focus on anthropogenic changes in ecosystem functions. Consequently, the relative importance of direct vs. indirect global change effects remains largely unexplored. Second, while much BEF research has shown that declining biodiversity may erode ecosystem functions, the extent to which this finding, based largely on experimentally assembled communities, can apply to natural communities under environmental stressors remains controversial (Duffy, Godwin, & Cardinale, 2017; Wardle, 2016). Much of the BEF research has directly assembled communities differing in diversity, while assuming random species extinction and colonization; the assembly of natural communities, however, is not random, such that species abundance and distribution patterns in natural communities typically differ from those of synthetic communities in BEF studies (Jiang, Wan, & Li, 2009; Wardle, 2016). It is thus necessary for future BEF studies to study natural communities directly and integrate biodiversity changes with their environmental change drivers in these communities, in order to obtain accurate predictions of ecosystem functioning under environmental changes (De Laender et al., 2016). Third, both species responses to environmental changes and impacts on ecosystem functions are regulated by their functional traits, mandating a trait-based approach to studying ecological consequences of environmental changes (Díaz et al., 2007; Violle et al., 2007). The need for the trait-based approach is further necessitated by the fact that environmental changes may cause species turnover without influencing species diversity (Avolio et al., 2014; Hillebrand et al., 2018; Jones, Ripplinger, & Collins, 2017), which can be readily captured by changes in functional diversity. We thus expect environmental changes may frequently alter functional diversity, even in the absence of changes in species diversity, with ensuing consequences for ecosystem functioning. Recent BEF research has investigated the role of functional diversity and phylogenetic diversity, which accounts for species evolutionary relationships and serves as a proxy of overall functional diversity, for ecosystem functions (e.g. Cadotte, Cardinale, & Oakley, 2008; Cadotte, Cavender-Bares, Tilman, & Oakley, 2009; Flynn, Mirotchnick, Jain, Palmer, & Naeem, 2011; but see Venail et al., 2015). However, their roles in modulating

the responses of ecosystems to global changes remain largely unexplored. Of particular interest is how different components of functional diversity, such as the traits of dominant species and trait distribution among species that are known to influence ecosystem properties (Cadotte, 2017; Gagic et al., 2015; Roscher et al., 2012), modulate global change effects.

Many terrestrial ecosystems are limited by the availability of water (DeMalach, Zaady, & Kadmon, 2017) and nitrogen (LeBauer & Treseder, 2008). Human activities have altered global precipitation patterns, with varying effects across different regions (Zhang et al., 2007). Likewise, human activities have fundamentally shaped the nitrogen cycle (Vitousek, Aber, et al., 1997), to the point where the magnitude of global nitrogen cycling has doubled over the past century (Fowler et al., 2013). Consistent with water and nitrogen being common limiting resources, many studies have reported positive response of terrestrial productivity to increased water (Sala & Parton, 1988; Yang, Fang, Ma, & Wang, 2008) and nitrogen (LeBauer & Treseder, 2008; Xia & Wan, 2008) input. It is largely unknown, however, whether the observed productivity increases were driven mainly by species physiological responses or mediated by changes in biodiversity.

The temperate steppe in North China constitutes an important part of the Eurasian grassland biome and provides diverse products and services for the local residents (Kang, Han, Zhang, & Sun, 2007). This ecosystem, limited by both water and nitrogen availability (Bai, Han, Wu, Chen, & Li, 2004; Niu et al., 2010), is projected to experience increases in summer precipitation (Sun & Ding, 2010) and atmospheric nitrogen deposition (Liu et al., 2011) in the coming decades. Using an 11-year field experiment that manipulated water and nitrogen availability in this ecologically and economically important ecosystem, we explored the relative importance of direct and indirect (mediated through multiple dimensions of biodiversity) global change effects on plant primary productivity. Our study aimed at answering two questions. First, does plant functional diversity play an indispensable role in modulating environmental change effects on productivity? Second, do anthropogenic changes in the traits of dominant species and changes in functional dispersion (FD) among species have similar effects on productivity?

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study sites and experimental design

Our study was conducted at the field station (116°17′E and 42°02′N, elevation 1,324 m a.s.l.) of the Institute of Botany of Chinese Academy of Sciences, located in Duolun county of Inner Mongolia, China. Mean annual precipitation is 379 mm and mean annual temperature is 2.1°C, with mean monthly temperatures ranging from –17.5°C (January) to 18.9°C (July). Soil is chestnut according to the Chinese classification and Calcis-orthic Aridisol in the US soil taxonomy classification. The dominant species in our study grassland include two grasses *Stipa krylovii* and *Agropyron cristatum* and one forb *Artemisia frigida*.

In early April 2005, we established seven blocks containing naturally assembled communities using a split-plot experimental design. Each block was divided into two main plots subjected to either ambient precipitation or water addition treatment. From June to August of each year, the water addition plots were sprinkled with 15 mm of groundwater weekly, resulting in a total of 180 mm additional precipitation during each growing season. Increased precipitation of similar magnitude has been observed in previous years (Xu et al., 2015) and is projected to occur more frequently in the future (Sun & Ding, 2010). Each main plot was divided into two 8 m × 8 m subplots, with each subplot randomly assigned to one of two nitrogen treatments: ambient or additional nitrogen. The nitrogen addition plots received granular urea (10 g nitrogen m^{-2} year⁻¹) twice a year, each with equal amount in early May and late June; the amount of nitrogen addition is comparable to the estimated atmospheric nitrogen deposition rate in northern China (He, Liu, Fangmeier, & Zhang, 2007). This study thus contained four treatments: control (no water or nitrogen addition), nitrogen addition, water addition and nitrogen plus water addition. Both the blocks and subplots were separated by a 1 m wide buffer zone.

2.2 | Plant community survey and biodiversity calculations

In May 2005, a permanent quadrat of $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ was established in each subplot. In mid-July from 2005 to 2015, each plant species within the quadrat was recorded. Species richness of each subplot was defined as the total number of species recorded in the permanent quadrat. To estimate plant community productivity, aboveground biomass was harvested at its peak in early September each year within a randomly selected 0.15 m × 2 m strip in each subplot outside the permanent quadrat. The harvest was sorted by species and dried at 65°C for 48 hr before weighing for dry biomass.

To estimate the phylogenetic relations between the 114 plant species present in the experimental region, we first constructed a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree. We constrained the family-level phylogeny to that in the APG III classification system. Then, we acquired the ITS gene sequences, which are commonly used in angiosperm phylogeny, of the studied species. The ITS gene sequences (ITS1-ITS4 region) of 79 species were obtained from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and fresh leaves of the other 35 species, for which GenBank sequences are not available, were collected from our study site to determine their ITS gene sequences according to published protocols (Lin, Fleming, Kuo, Matthews, & Saunders, 2000). After aligning the sequences with ClustalX, we constructed one ML tree for each family in PhyML (Guindon et al., 2010) to improve the resolution of our phylogeny (see Figure S1 for a list of all species on the tree). We also constructed a phylogenetic tree for the 114 species according to Zanne et al. (2014). We used Mantel's test to compare the species-wise phylogenetic distance matrices between the two versions of trees; the results indicated that results based on the two trees were similar (r = .921,

p < .001). Here, we only reported the results based on the ITS tree. For each subplot in each year, we quantified community phylogenetic diversity using the net relatedness index (NRI), following Webb, Ackerly, McPeek, and Donoghue (2002). Results based on the nearest taxon index, another commonly used metric of phylogenetic diversity (Webb et al., 2002), were qualitatively similar.

In July 2008 and 2014, we measured five plant functional traits: plant stature (S), leaf area (LA), specific leaf area (SLA), leaf dry matter content (LDMC) and leaf nitrogen concentration (LN), for each species within a $0.5 \text{ m} \times 0.5 \text{ m}$ guadrat in each subplot. These traits are known to be important for acquiring sources and determining species abundance in grasslands (Ansquer, Duru, Theau, & Cruz, 2009; Laliberte, Shipley, Norton, & Scott, 2012). The stature of each species was determined as the mean values of five randomly selected individuals; all observed individuals were measured for species with less than five individuals. Afterwards, all the above-ground living tissues in the quadrat were clipped by species to determine leaf area (using Sigmascan 4.1) and to measure leaf fresh mass; plant leaves were then dried at 70°C for 24 hr and weighed for the calculation of SLA and LDMC. The dry leaf materials were ground and sieved through a 0.25 mm screen to determine total nitrogen concentration using a Vario MICRO Cube elemental analyzer (Elementar, Hanau, Germany).

We quantified functional diversity of our study communities using two metrics: community-weighted mean (CWM) and functional dispersion (FD_{is}), following Díaz et al. (2007). CWM for each of the five plant functional traits was calculated as:

$$CWM = \sum p_i \times trait_i \tag{1}$$

where p_i is the relative abundance of species *i* in the community, and trait_{*i*} is the trait value of species *i* (Lavorel et al., 2008). CWM represents the expected trait value of a randomly sampled individual from a community (Garnier et al., 2004) and is strongly driven by the trait values of the dominant species. A significant effect of CWM on ecosystem functions would thus indicate that ecosystem processes are largely driven by the traits of dominant species (Díaz et al., 2007; Garnier et al., 2004). We calculated FD_{is} for all traits together, following (Laliberté & Legendre, 2010):

$$\mathsf{FD}_{is} = \sum \left(a_{ij} z_j \right) / \sum a_j \tag{2}$$

where a_j is the abundance of species j and z_j is the distance of species j to the weighted centroid **c**, calculated as

$$\mathbf{c} = \sum \left(a_{ij} x_{ij} \right) / \sum a_j \tag{3}$$

where x_{ij} is the trait value of species *j* for trait *i*. FD_{is} measures the variation in trait values among species within a community and has several advantages over other metrics of functional diversity, including its insensitivity to species richness and ability to incorporate species relative abundance (Laliberté & Legendre, 2010). A significant effect of FD_{is} for ecosystem processes suggests that multiple species with different traits contribute to these processes (Roscher et al., 2012).

2.3 | Soil water and nitrogen concentration

Two soil cores (3 cm in diameter and 10 cm in depth respectively) were collected from each subplot biweekly between May and September of each year (2007–2015). The soil cores were weighed and dried to constant weight to determine soil water concentration, calculated as the percentage of weight loss from fresh to dry soil. In early August of each year, soil samples (10 cm in depth) were collected from five randomly selected locations in each subplot and mixed. The mixed samples were used to measure soil inorganic nitrogen concentration using a flow-injection autoanalyser (FIAstar 5000 Analyzer, Foss Tecator, Denmark), following extraction with solutions of 2 M KCI.

2.4 | Statistical analyses

Data on plant productivity, species richness and NRI were squareroot transformed and data on soil inorganic nitrogen and CWM values of leaf area (CWM_{IA}) were In-transformed to meet the normality assumption. Linear mixed-effects models with a split-plot design were used to assess the effects of water and nitrogen addition on plant community productivity, plant diversity (including species richness, phylogenetic diversity [NRI], CWM of each trait and FD_{ic}) and soil water and inorganic nitrogen. Year was included as a random effect in the models. We checked the bivariate relationships among soil conditions, biodiversity metrics and community productivity, using data across the four treatments and the two sampling years (2008 and 2014) when species trait data are available. Then, we constructed an a priori piecewise structural equation model (piecewise SEM; Lefcheck & Duffy, 2015) based on the bivariate relationships to understand the causal pathways through which soil water and nitrogen availability influences productivity, where both direct and indirect (via changing various aspects of plant diversity) pathways were considered (see Figure S2). We simplified the initial model by eliminating non-significant pathways and state variables based on regression weight estimates. Overall fit of the piecewise SEM was evaluated using Shipley's test of d-separation, Fisher's C statistic and AIC. The above analyses were also conducted using the 11-year (2005-2015) data collected for measures other than species traits; the results are qualitatively the same as those based on the 2-year (2008 and 2014) data; thus, we only report the latter results here. The piecewise SEM was constructed using the piecewise SEM package in R (R Development Core Team, 2013). The remaining statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 13.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Effects of water and nitrogen addition on productivity and biodiversity

Plant community productivity showed similar, marked increases in response to water and nitrogen addition; the effects of the two treatments were additive, resulting in the largest productivity increase in plots amended with both water and nitrogen (Table 1; Figure 1). By contrast, water and nitrogen addition had opposite



FIGURE 1 Boxplot showing the differences in plant community productivity among nitrogen and water treatments. C: control, N: nitrogen addition, W: water addition, WN: water plus nitrogen addition. The black and grey lines within the box represent the median and mean of plant productivity, respectively, across the two sampling years (2008 & 2014); box limits indicate the plant productivity in the 25-75th percentile range. Error bars indicate the 10th and 90th percentiles. The closed dots show the distribution of plant productivity additively, with the WN subplots yielding the highest productivity

TABLE 1 Results (F-values) of linear mixed-effects models with a repeated-measures split-plot design on the effects of block, water (W) and nitrogen (N) addition, and their interactions on plant community productivity (ANPP), species richness (SR), the net relatedness index (NRI), the community-weighted mean values of plant stature (CWM_s), leaf area (CWM_{LA}), specific leaf area (CWM_{SLA}), leaf nitrogen concentration (CWM_{LN}) and the leaf dry matter content (CWM_{LDMC}), functional dispersion (FD_{is}), soil water (SW) and soil inorganic nitrogen concentration (IN). Plant traits were measured based on five replicates. See Table S1 for the degrees of freedom for each variable

Source	Productivity	SR	NRI	CWMs	CWM _{LA}	CWM_{SLA}	CWM	CWM	FD _{is}	SW	IN
Block	0.34	3.76**	1.57	0.59	0.54	0.01	0.54	1.08	0.07	0.02	0.22
W	13.54***	6.82*	1.68	3.22*	16.51***	12.99**	5.15*	6.82*	1.78	209.26***	3.34
Ν	50.16***	11.21**	0.33	15.59***	4.67*	7.37*	152.13***	0.23	4.64*	0.74	86.23***
W×N	0.53	0.59	0.39	1.96	0.97	1.90	0.04	0.54	0.00	5.61*	10.71**
* 05 **	~ * * * *										

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001.



FIGURE 2 Boxplots showing the differences in plant diversity among nitrogen and water treatments across the sampling years. a) species richness, b) the net relatedness index (NRI), and on community weighted mean values for c) plant stature (CWM_s), d) leaf area (CWM_{LA}), e) specific leaf area (CWM_{SLA}), f) leaf nitrogen (CWM_{LN}) and g) leaf dry matter content (CWM_{LDMC}), and on h) functional dispersion (FD_{is}). C: control, N: nitrogen addition, W: water addition, WN: water plus nitrogen addition. The black and grey lines within the box represent the median and mean of plant diversity, respectively, across the two sampling years (2008 & 2014); box limits indicate the 25-75th percentile range. Error bars indicate the 10th and 90th percentiles. The closed dots show the distribution of plant diversity data in each treatment

effect on species richness, with the former effect being positive and the latter effect being negative (Table 1; Figure 2a). Neither treatment affected NRI as the measure of phylogenetic diversity (Table 1; Figure 2b). Water and nitrogen addition had similar positive effects on the CWM of the five functional traits we measured (Figure 2c-f), except for CWM_{LDMC}, which declined under water but not nitrogen enrichment (Table 1; Figure 2g). Nitrogen, but not water, addition increased FD_{is} (Table 1; Figure 2h).

3.2 | Relationships between soil water, soil nitrogen concentration, biodiversity and productivity

As expected, water addition increased soil water concentration and nitrogen addition increased soil inorganic nitrogen concentration (Table 1; Figure S3). Also as expected, plant community productivity showed positive bivariate relationships with soil water and nitrogen concentration (Figure S4a,b). Somewhat unexpectedly, plant community productivity was not related to species richness and showed a negative bivariate relationship with NRI (Figure S4c,d). Functional diversity measures, including FD_{is} and CWM of most functional traits, showed positive bivariate relationships with community productivity (Figure S4e–i); CWM_{LDMC}, however, was unrelated to community productivity (Figure S4j).

When considering multivariate causal relationships with SEM, we found that soil water and nitrogen concentration influenced plant community productivity both directly as well as indirectly via changing plant functional diversity. The best SEM model retained CWM_s and FD_{is} as the additional explanatory variables for community productivity, while eliminating all other biodiversity measures (species



FIGURE 3 The final structural equation model relating soil water, inorganic nitrogen concentration, community-weighted mean (CWM) of plant stature and functional dispersion to plant community productivity. The final model adequately fitted the data: Fisher C = 5.82, p = .054, df = 2; AIC = 33.82. Solid and dashed arrows indicate significant ($^{A}p < .10$, $^{*}p < .05$, $^{**}p < .01$, $^{***}p < .001$) positive and negative pathways respectively. Arrow width is proportional to the strength of the relationship. Numbers along the arrows are standardized path coefficients indicating the effect size of the relationship. The proportion of variance explained (R^2) appears alongside response variables in the model

richness, NRI and CWM of the four other functional traits) (Figure 3). Besides directly benefiting community productivity, increasing soil water and nitrogen concentration also caused the increase in CWM_s and FD_{is}, which in turn influenced community productivity. The effects of CWM_s and FD_{is}, however, were opposite of each other; whereas increasing CWM_s increased community productivity, increasing FD_{is} reduced community productivity (Figure 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our study differs from the majority of previous work on global change effects on ecosystems by considering the role of biodiversity, allowing us to assess the relative importance of direct and indirect pathways through which global environmental changes influence ecosystem properties. Our study also differs from the majority of existing BEF studies by examining BEF relationships in natural communities and by linking environmental drivers of biodiversity changes with the functional consequences of biodiversity changes, echoing recent call for this type of research (De Laender et al., 2016). By explicitly considering plant functional diversity in relation to global change factors and plant community productivity, our study provides the rare demonstration that global environmental changes influence plant productivity both directly and indirectly, with the latter effect via altering different components of plant functional diversity. Therefore, plant functional diversity plays an important role in modulating global change effects on the productivity of the natural steppe we studied.

Consistent with many other studies of grassland ecosystems (DeMalach et al., 2017; Stevens, Dise, Mountford, & Gowing, 2004), increase in soil water and nitrogen availability increased plant community productivity in our experiment. Water serves as the reactant in various biochemical processes, and as the medium transporting mineral nutrients between soil and plants and transporting nutrients and photosynthetic products between plant tissues. Water addition in water-limited systems thus increases nutrient uptake and transport, promoting plant physiology and growth (Kozlowski, 1968; Patrick et al., 2007). Increased water supply may also enhance plant productivity by promoting litter decomposition (Wang et al., 2017) and mineralization (Kozlowski, 1968), which results in improved soil nutrient availability. Accordingly, in our water-limited study, grassland water addition increased plant leaf production (Ren et al., 2011) as well as the production of plant tillers (Xu, Wan, Zhu, Ren, & Han, 2010), contributing to increased plant productivity. Nitrogen is essential for the synthesis of structural and enzymatic proteins as building blocks of plant tissues (Lawlor, 1995; Lawlor, Lemaire, & Gastal, 2001; Lemaire et al., 1992). Nitrogen application in nitrogen-limited systems is known to enhance plant CO₂ assimilation (Theobald, Mitchell, Parry, & Lawlor, 1998), tissue formation (Lawlor & Young, 1989) and leaf production (Lemaire et al., 1992). Accordingly, nitrogen enrichment has been found to stimulate net carbon sequestration and increase leaf area and biomass in our study grassland (Niu et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2011), contributing to increased plant productivity.

Our most important findings are that both components of functional diversity, CWM_s and FD_{is}, modulated the effects of water and nitrogen amendment on plant community productivity and that their effects were opposite to each other. SEM revealed that water and nitrogen amendment had indirect positive effects on community productivity, primarily through increasing the CWM of stature (CWM_c), a crucial trait that influences plant carbon sequestration capacity, competitive ability and multiple aspects of plant ecological strategies (Moles et al., 2009). Note that CWM of several other traits, including leaf area, SLA and LN, also increased with water and nitrogen addition, reflecting the overall increased fitness of study species under improved water and nitrogen conditions. The CWM of these traits also showed positive relationships with plant community productivity in bivariate regressions but were eliminated from the final SEM model because they tend to be closely associated with plant height (sensu Falster & Westoby, 2003). The importance of CWM for ecosystem functioning is a quantitative translation of the mass ratio hypothesis (Spasojevic & Suding, 2012), which states that ecosystem functions are relatively insensitive to changes in species richness, but determined, to a large extent, by the traits of the dominant species (Grime, 1998). The dependence of community productivity on community-weighted means of the measured traits, together with the independence of community productivity from species richness, provide strong support for the mass ratio hypothesis. In our experiment, dominant species (e.g. S. krylovii, A. cristatum) attained the largest biomass and the tallest stature, both of which increased under improved water and nitrogen conditions, contributing significantly to increased overall ecosystem productivity.

Concurrent with changes in CWM of the measured functional traits, SEM also identified a second indirect pathway in which water and nitrogen availability influenced productivity by altering the dispersion of these functional traits. Strikingly, the net effect on productivity through this indirect pathway is negative; whereas FD increased under improved soil water and nitrogen conditions, increased FD had a negative effect on productivity. The increase in FD in a community could arise from the extinction of species with similar traits or the colonization of species with distinct traits. Inspection of species trait data reveals that the latter scenario drove the increase in FD under water/nitrogen amendment. For example, water enrichment promoted the colonization of Dysphania aristata, which had the lowest stature, smallest leaf area and second highest LDMC among all species present in the water amendment plots, and Poa subfastigiate which was the second lowest in both leaf area and LDMC in the water amendment plots. Even in nitrogen amendment plots where species richness declined (i.e. the number of extinct species greater than colonized species), some of the successful colonizers possessed distinct traits than their neighbouring species (e.g. Allium neriniflorum being the smallest in leaf area, lowest in LDMC and second smallest in SLA; Salsola collina being the second smallest in leaf area and third smallest in SLA). The successful colonization of these species under nitrogen/water amendment may have been made possible by the improved resource availability (Davis, Grime, & Thompson, 2000) as well as their distinct niches

that allow them to escape strong competition from the resident species (Li et al., 2015).

It has been suggested that the increase in the variety of functional traits in a community may allow the community to utilize a greater variety of resources, leading to greater ecosystem functioning (Díaz & Cabido, 2001; Petchey & Gaston, 2006). This intuitively appealing idea has received considerable support from BEF experiments, including those that manipulated functional group richness (e.g. Tilman et al., 1997) and those that considered continuous measures of function trait distribution (e.g. Petchey, Hector, & Gaston, 2004). Some recent BEF studies have explicitly linked functional trait distribution to niche complementarity and selection effects, demonstrating that greater niche complementarity in communities with broader trait distribution contributes to increased ecosystem functioning (e.g. Cadotte, 2017; Roscher et al., 2012). By contrast, our study showed that increasing FD, as a result of global environmental changes, could have a negative effect on natural ecosystem productivity. While seemingly puzzling at first, this result may be explained by the non-random assembly of our study communities. Specifically, while water/nitrogen addition promoted the colonization of functionally distinct species, resulting in increased FD, these functionally distinct species generally attained little biomass (accounting for 5.7% and 2.8% of the total community biomass in water and nitrogen addition plots respectively) and, therefore, contributed little to overall ecosystem productivity. The presence of these lowbiomass species leaves less space and fewer resources for species with potential for large biomass production, causing productivity to decline (see Norberg et al., 2001 for a prediction of this pattern). This non-random community assembly may also be the reason that the productivity was not related to species or phylogenetic diversity in our SEM. Note that this scenario is akin to the dilution effect in disease ecology, where the presence of suboptimal hosts reduces the transmission and prevalence of vector-borne diseases (Ostfeld & Keesing, 2000). Our result thus casts an important cautionary note on the perceived importance of functional diversity for the functioning of natural communities.

We found contrasting effects of nitrogen enrichment and water addition on grassland plant species richness, a finding also reported by several previous studies (Stevens, Shirk, & Steiner, 2006; Yang et al., 2011; Zavaleta et al., 2003). The decline in species richness with nitrogen enrichment has been attributed to a host of mechanisms, including soil acidification (Lu, Jiangming, Franks, Zhou, & Fang, 2010), exacerbated water limitation due to elevated transpiration (Zavaleta et al., 2003), increased competition for light (Hautier, Niklaus, & Hector, 2009) and reduced niche dimensionality (Harpole & Tilman, 2007). Our experiment, however, was not designed to identify the exact mechanism(s) driving species diversity decline under nitrogen enrichment. Although water addition also increased above-ground biomass, resulting in greater competition for light, water addition had a positive effect on species richness. This result may be explained by at least two mutually non-exclusive mechanisms. First, water addition may have promoted plant germination and establishment, as indicated by the increase in the number of plant individuals under water addition in our experiment (Xu et al., 2010). Second, increased water availability favoured shallow-rooted forbs that were generally disadvantaged in dry soils (Xu et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2011), preventing their competitive exclusion by dominant grasses.

Despite significant treatment effects on plant species richness. plant species richness is not a significant predictor of productivity in either bivariate regression or SEM. This is at odds with plant species richness often being positively related to productivity in BEF experiments (Cardinale et al., 2006; Spehn, Joshi, Schmid, Diemer, & Korner, 2000; Tilman et al., 2001, 2014). One possible explanation for this discrepancy is the difference in the assembly of natural communities vs. synthetic communities in BEF studies. Random community assembly in BEF experiments facilitates the operation of complementarity and positive selection effects (Jiang et al., 2009; Wardle, 2016), two primary mechanisms contributing to positive diversity-productivity relationships (Loreau & Hector, 2001). However, these two mechanisms tend to be much less important in natural communities where abundant species contribute most to community productivity but rarely experience extinction (Jiang et al., 2009; Wardle, 2016). Although rarely mentioned, this explanation could also potentially account for the weak relationship between species richness and productivity reported for other natural grassland communities (Adler et al., 2011; Grace et al., 2007).

Phylogenetic diversity has recently been proposed as a convenient proxy of species functional trait distribution, on the ground that more closely related species tend to share more similar traits (Cadotte et al., 2008). A number of BEF experiments have reported positive relationships between plant phylogenetic diversity and community productivity (summarized in Venail et al., 2015), and some of these studies have shown that phylogenetic diversity better predicts productivity than species richness (e.g. Cadotte et al., 2008; Flynn et al., 2011). However, phylogenetic diversity is also not a significant predictor of productivity in our experiment. This result may again be explained by non-random community assembly (see last paragraph). However, a more parsimonious explanation is that phylogenetic diversity may not necessarily be an effective proxy of functional trait diversity as often assumed. Consistent with this idea, when examining the phylogenetic signal of the five measured traits using plants grown in our control plots with Blomberg's K, we found that only two (leaf area and LDMC) exhibited a significant signal (leaf area: Blomberg's *K* = 0.44, *p* = .01; LDMC: Blomberg's *K* = 0.35, *p* = .017).

In conclusion, our results show that increase in growing season precipitation and nitrogen deposition increased plant community productivity directly as well as indirectly in the affected temperate grassland. The indirect effect on productivity, however, comes from water- and nitrogen-induced changes in functional diversity, not species or phylogenetic diversity. Importantly, while the two components of plant functional diversity, CWMs and FD, showed similar positive responses to water and nitrogen addition, their effects on productivity were opposite. When combined together, the overall indirect effect of water addition on productivity is positive (i.e. positive changes via CWMs outweigh negative changes via FD), and the overall indirect effect of nitrogen addition is almost non-existent as the two indirect pathways cancel out each other (Figure 3). Overall, our study demonstrates the importance of both the traits of dominant species and functional trait distribution among species in modulating the effects of global changes on plant community productivity. It remains to be seen whether the observed negative effect of anthropogenic increases in FD on productivity, which challenges the paradigm of positive relationships between the two, could be generalized to other natural ecosystems experiencing global environmental changes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Zhichao Pu for assistance in data analysis, Xiaobin Li, Jiangping Cai, Heyong Liu, Jinfei Yin, Shang Yang, Fei Yao, Peng He and Ruzhen Wang for their assistance in field and laboratory work, and Patrick Venail for constructive comments that significantly improved this manuscript. We thank the Duolun Restoration Ecology Research Station for permission to access to the study site. This study was financially supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31370009, 41371251 and 31361123001), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFC0500707) and the National Science Foundation of USA (DEB-1342754). The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Z.X., Y.J. and X.H. conceived the project; Z.X. and H.R. performed the field experiment; Z.X. and S.-P.L. analysed the data; Z.X. and L.J. wrote the paper. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and gave final approval for publication.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Data associated with this study are archived in the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.689hp02 (Xu et al., 2018).

ORCID

 Zhuwen Xu
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8898-6577

 Lin Jiang
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7114-0794

REFERENCES

- Adler, P. B., Seabloom, E. W., Borer, E. T., Hillebrand, H., Hautier, Y., Hector, A., ... Anderson, T. M. (2011). Productivity is a poor predictor of plant species richness. *Science*, 333, 1750–1753. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1204498
- Ansquer, P., Duru, M., Theau, J. P., & Cruz, P. (2009). Functional traits as indicators of fodder provision over a short time scale in species-rich

grasslands. Annals of Botany, 103, 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcn215

- Avolio, M. L., Koerner, S. E., La Pierre, K. J., Wilcox, K. R., Wilson, G. W. T., Smith, M. D., & Collins, S. L. (2014). Changes in plant community composition, not diversity, during a decade of nitrogen and phosphorus additions drive above-ground productivity in a tallgrass prairie. *Journal of Ecology*, 102, 1649–1660. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12312
- Bai, Y., Han, X., Wu, J., Chen, Z., & Li, L. (2004). Ecosystem stability and compensatory effects in the Inner Mongolia grassland. *Nature*, 431, 181–184. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02850
- Cadotte, M. W. (2017). Functional traits explain ecosystem function through opposing mechanisms. *Ecology Letters*, 20, 989–996. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ele.12796
- Cadotte, M. W., Cardinale, B. J., & Oakley, T. H. (2008). Evolutionary history and the effect of biodiversity on plant productivity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105, 17012–17017. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0805962105
- Cadotte, M. W., Cavender-Bares, J., Tilman, D., & Oakley, T. H. (2009). Using phylogenetic, functional and trait diversity to understand patterns of plant community productivity. *PLoS ONE*, 4, e5695. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005695
- Cardinale, B. J., Duffy, J. E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D. U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., ... Wardle, D. A. (2012). Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature*, 486, 59–67. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148
- Cardinale, B. J., Srivastava, D. S., Duffy, J. E., Wright, J. P., Downing, A. L., Sankaran, M., & Jouseau, C. (2006). Effects of biodiversity on the functioning of trophic groups and ecosystems. *Nature*, 443, 989– 992. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05202
- Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Woodward, F. I., Prentice, I. C., Betts, R. A., Brovkin, V., ... Young-Molling, C. (2001). Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to CO₂ and climate change: Results from six dynamic global vegetation models. *Global Change Biology*, 7, 357–373. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00383.x
- Davis, M. A., Grime, J. P., & Thompson, K. (2000). Fluctuating resources in plant communities: A general theory of invasibility. *Journal of Ecology*, 88, 528–534. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2000.00473.x
- De Laender, F., Rohr, J. R., Ashauer, R., Baird, D. J., Berger, U., Eisenhauer, N., ... Meliàn, C. J. (2016). Reintroducing environmental change drivers in biodiversity-ecosystem functioning research. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31, 905–915. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.09.007
- DeMalach, N., Zaady, E., & Kadmon, R. (2017). Contrasting effects of water and nutrient additions on grassland communities: A global meta-analysis. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 26, 983–992. https:// doi.org/10.1111/geb.12603
- Díaz, S. & Cabido, M. (2001). Vive la difference: Plant functional diversity matters to ecosystem processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 16, 646–655.
- Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., de Bello, F., Quétier, F., Grigulis, K., & Robson, T. M. (2007). Incorporating plant functional diversity effects in ecosystem service assessments. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104, 20684–20689. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704716104
- Duffy, J. E., Godwin, C. M., & Cardinale, B. J. (2017). Biodiversity effects in the wild are common and as strong as key drivers of productivity. *Nature*, 549, 261–264. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23886
- Falster, D. S., & Westoby, M. (2003). Plant height and evolutionary games. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 18, 337–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0169-5347(03)00061-2
- Flynn, D. F. B., Mirotchnick, N., Jain, M., Palmer, M. I., & Naeem, S. (2011). Functional and phylogenetic diversity as predictors of

biodiversity-ecosystem-function relationships. *Ecology*, *92*, 1573-1581. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-1245.1

- Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., ... Galloway, J. N. (2013). The global nitrogen cycle in the twentyfirst century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 368, 20130164. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0164
- Gagic, V., Bartomeus, I., Jonsson, T., Taylor, A., Winqvist, C., Fischer, C., ... Potts, S. G. (2015). Functional identity and diversity of animals predict ecosystem functioning better than species-based indices. Proceedings Biological Sciences, 282, 20142620. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2620
- Garnier, E., Cortez, J., Billès, G., Navas, M. L., Roumet, C., Debussche, M., ... Bellmann, A. (2004). Plant functional markers capture ecosystem properties during secondary succession. *Ecology*, 85, 2630–2637. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-0799
- Gonzalez, A., Cardinale, B. J., Allington, G. R., Byrnes, J., Arthur Endsley, K., Brown, D. G., ... Loreau, M. (2016). Estimating local biodiversity change: A critique of papers claiming no net loss of local diversity. *Ecology*, 97, 1949–1960. https://doi.org/10.1890/15-1759.1
- Grace, J. B., Anderson, T. M., Smith, M. D., Seabloom, E., Andelman, S. J., Meche, G., ... Willig, M. R. (2007). Does species diversity limit productivity in natural grassland communities? *Ecology Letters*, 10, 680–689. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01058.x
- Grime, J. P. (1998). Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: Immediate, filter and founder effects. *Journal of Ecology*, 86, 902–910. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.1998.00306.x
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.-F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., & Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology, 59, 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1093/ sysbio/syq010
- Harpole, W. S., & Tilman, D. (2007). Grassland species loss resulting from reduced niche dimension. *Nature*, 446, 791–793. https://doi. org/10.1038/nature05684
- Hautier, Y., Niklaus, P. A., & Hector, A. (2009). Competition for light causes plant biodiversity loss after eutrophication. *Science*, 324, 636–638. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169640
- He, C.-E., Liu, X., Fangmeier, A., & Zhang, F. (2007). Quantifying the total airborne nitrogen input into agroecosystems in the North China Plain. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 121, 395–400. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.016
- Hillebrand, H., Blasius, B., Borer, E. T., Chase, J. M., Downing, J., Eriksson, B. K., ... Ryabov, A. B. (2018). Biodiversity change is uncoupled from species richness trends: Consequences for conservation and monitoring. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 55, 169–184. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2664.12959
- Isbell, F., Reich, P. B., Tilman, D., Hobbie, S. E., Polasky, S., & Binder, S. (2013). Nutrient enrichment, biodiversity loss, and consequent declines in ecosystem productivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 110, 11911–11916. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1310880110
- Jiang, L., Wan, S. Q., & Li, L. H. (2009). Species diversity and productivity: Why do results of diversity-manipulation experiments differ from natural patterns? *Journal of Ecology*, 97, 603–608. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01503.x
- Jones, S. K., Ripplinger, J., & Collins, S. L. (2017). Species reordering, not changes in richness, drives long-term dynamics in grassland communities. *Ecology Letters*, 20, 1556–1565. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ele.12864
- Kang, L., Han, X., Zhang, Z., & Sun, O. J. (2007). Grassland ecosystems in China: Review of current knowledge and research advancement. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, 362, 997–1008. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2029
- Kozlowski, T. T. (1968). Water deficits and plant growth. New York, NY: Academic Press.

- Laliberté, E., & Legendre, P. (2010). A distance-based framework for measuring functional diversity from multiple traits. *Ecology*, 91, 299– 305. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-2244.1
- Laliberte, E., Shipley, B., Norton, D. A., & Scott, D. (2012). Which plant traits determine abundance under long-term shifts in soil resource availability and grazing intensity? *Journal of Ecology*, 100, 662–677. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01947.x
- Lavorel, S., Grigulis, K., McIntyre, S., Williams, N. S. G., Garden, D., Dorrough, J., ... Bonis, A. (2008). Assessing functional diversity in the field – Methodology matters! *Functional Ecology*, 22, 134–147.
- Lawlor, D. W. (1995). Photosynthesis, productivity and environment. Journal of Experimental Botany, 46, 1449–1461. https://doi. org/10.1093/jxb/46.special_issue.1449
- Lawlor, D. W., Lemaire, G., & Gastal, F. (2001). Nitrogen, plant growth and crop yield. In P. J. Lea, & J.-F. Morot-Gaudry (Eds.), *Plant nitrogen* (pp. 343–347). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-662-04064-5
- Lawlor, D. W., & Young, A. T. (1989). Photosynthesis by flag leaves of wheat in relation to protein, ribulose bis phosphate carboxylase activity and nitrogen supply. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 40, 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/40.1.43
- LeBauer, D. S., & Treseder, K. K. (2008). Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. *Ecology*, 89, 371–379. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-2057.1
- Lefcheck, J. S., & Duffy, J. E. (2015). Multitrophic functional diversity predicts ecosystem functioning in experimental assemblages of estuarine consumers. *Ecology*, 96, 2973–2983. https://doi. org/10.1890/14-1977.1
- Lemaire, G., Khaity, M., Onillon, B., Allirand, J. M., Chartier, M., & Gosse, G. (1992). Dynamics of accumulation and partitioning of N in leaves, stems and roots of Lucerne (*Medicago sativa L.*) in a dense canopy. *Annals of Botany*, 70, 429–435. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a088499
- Li, S. P., Cadotte, M. W., Meiners, S. J., Hua, Z. S., Shu, H. Y., Li, J. T., & Shu, W. S. (2015). The effects of phylogenetic relatedness on invasion success and impact: Deconstructing Darwin's naturalisation conundrum. *Ecology Letters*, 18, 1285–1292. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ele.12522
- Lin, J., Fleming, R., Kuo, J., Matthews, B., & Saunders, J. (2000). Detection of plant genes using a rapid, nonorganic DNA purification method. *BioTechniques*, 28, 346–350.
- Liu, X., Duan, L., Mo, J., Du, E., Shen, J., Lu, X., ... Zhang, F. (2011). Nitrogen deposition and its ecological impact in China: An overview. *Environmental Pollution*, 159, 2251–2264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envpol.2010.08.002
- Loreau, M., & Hector, A. (2001). Erratum: Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature*, 413, 548–548. https://doi.org/10.1038/35097128
- Lu, X., Jiangming, M. O., Franks, G., Zhou, G., & Fang, Y. (2010). Effects of experimental nitrogen additions on plant diversity in an old-growth tropical forest. *Global Change Biology*, *16*, 2688–2700. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02174.x
- Moles, A. T., Warton, D. I., Warman, L., Swenson, N. G., Laffan, S. W., Zanne, A. E., ... Leishman, M. R. (2009). Global patterns in plant height. *Journal of Ecology*, 97, 923–932. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01526.x
- Niu, S., Wu, M., Han, Y., Xia, J., Zhang, Z., Yang, H., & Wan, S. (2010). Nitrogen effects on net ecosystem carbon exchange in a temperate steppe. *Global Change Biology*, 16, 144–155. https://doi.org/10.1111/ (ISSN)1365-2486
- Norberg, J., Swaney, D. P., Dushoff, J., Lin, J., Casagrandi, R., & Levin, S. A. (2001). Phenotypic diversity and ecosystem functioning in changing environments: A theoretical framework. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98, 11376–11381. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.171315998

- Ostfeld, R. S., & Keesing, F. (2000). Biodiversity and disease risk: The case of Lyme disease. *Conservation Biology*, 14, 722–728. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000.99014.x
- Patrick, L., Cable, J., Potts, D., Ignace, D., Barron-Gafford, G., Griffith, A., ... Huxman, T. E. (2007). Effects of an increase in summer precipitation on leaf, soil, and ecosystem fluxes of CO₂ and H₂O in a sotol grassland in Big Bend National Park, Texas. *Oecologia*, 151, 704–718. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0621-y
- Pereira, H. M., Leadley, P. W., Proença, V., Alkemade, R., Scharlemann, J. P., Fernandez-Manjarrés, J. F., ... Cheung, W. W. (2010). Scenarios for global biodiversity in the 21st century. *Science*, 330, 1496–1501. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1196624
- Petchey, O. L., & Gaston, K. J. (2006). Functional diversity: Back to basics and looking forward. *Ecology Letters*, 9, 741–758. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00924.x
- Petchey, O. L., Hector, A., & Gaston, K. J. (2004). How do different measures of functional diversity perform? *Ecology*, 85, 847–857. https:// doi.org/10.1890/03-0226
- Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N., Abell, R., Brooks, T. M., Gittleman, J. L., Joppa, L. N., ... Sexton, J. O. (2014). The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. *Science*, 344, 1246752. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246752
- R Development Core Team. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing 3.0.2. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.R-project.org.
- Reich, P. B., Knops, J., Tilman, D., Craine, J., Ellsworth, D., Tjoelker, M., ... Bengston, W. (2001). Plant diversity enhances ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen deposition. *Nature*, 410, 809–810. https://doi.org/10.1038/35071062
- Ren, H., Xu, Z., Huang, J., Clark, C., Chen, S., & Han, X. (2011). Nitrogen and water addition reduce leaf longevity of steppe species. *Annals of Botany*, 107, 145–155. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq219
- Roscher, C., Schumacher, J., Gubsch, M., Lipowsky, A., Weigelt, A., Buchmann, N., ... Schulze, E. D. (2012). Using plant functional traits to explain diversity-productivity relationships. *PLoS ONE*, *7*, e36760. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036760
- Sala, O. E., & Parton, W. J. (1988). Primary production of the central grassland region of the United States. *Ecology*, 69, 40–45. https://doi. org/10.2307/1943158
- Sax, D. F., & Gaines, S. D. (2003). Species diversity: From global decreases to local increases. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 18, 561–566. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00224-6
- Schröter, D., Cramer, W., Leemans, R., Prentice, I. C., Araújo, M. B., Arnell, N. W., ... Gracia, C. A. (2005). Ecosystem service supply and vulnerability to global change in Europe. *Science*, 310, 1333–1337. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1115233
- Spasojevic, M. J., & Suding, K. N. (2012). Inferring community assembly mechanisms from functional diversity patterns: The importance of multiple assembly processes. *Journal of Ecology*, 100, 652–661. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01945.x
- Spehn, E. M., Joshi, J., Schmid, B., Diemer, M., & Korner, C. (2000). Above-ground resource use increases with plant species richness in experimental grassland ecosystems. *Functional Ecology*, 14, 326–337.
- Stevens, C. J., Dise, N. B., Mountford, J. O., & Gowing, D. J. (2004). Impact of nitrogen deposition on the species richness of grasslands. *Science*, 303, 1876–1879. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094678
- Stevens, M. H. H., Shirk, R., & Steiner, C. E. (2006). Water and fertilizer have opposite effects on plant species richness in a mesic early successional habitat. *Plant Ecology*, 183, 27–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11258-005-9003-5
- Sun, Y., & Ding, Y. (2010). A projection of future changes in summer precipitation and monsoon in East Asia. Science China Earth Sciences, 53, 284–300. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-009-0123-y
- Theobald, J. C., Mitchell, R. A., Parry, M. A., & Lawlor, D. W. (1998). Estimating the excess investment in ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate

Carboxylase/Oxygenase in leaves of spring wheat grown under elevated CO₂. *Plant Physiology*, 118, 945–955. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.118.3.945

- Tilman, D., Isbell, F., & Cowles, J. M. (2014). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 45, 471-493. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-09 1917
- Tilman, D., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Reich, P., Ritchie, M., & Siemann, E. (1997). The influence of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. *Science*, 277, 1300–1302. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.277.5330.1300
- Tilman, D., Reich, P. B., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Mielke, T., & Lehman, C. (2001). Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. *Science*, 294, 843–845. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1060391
- Venail, P., Gross, K., Oakley, T. H., Narwani, A., Allan, E., Flombaum, P., ... Tilman, D. (2015). Species richness, but not phylogenetic diversity, influences community biomass production and temporal stability in a re-examination of 16 grassland biodiversity studies. *Functional Ecology*, 29, 615-626. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2435.12432
- Violle, C., Navas, M. L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., & Garnier, E. (2007). Let the concept of trait be functional!. Oikos, 116, 882–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15559.x
- Vitousek, P. M., Aber, J. D., Howarth, R. W., Likens, G. E., Matson, P. A., Schindler, D. W., ... Tilman, D. G. (1997). Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: Sources and consequences. *Ecological Applications*, 7, 737–750.
- Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. A., Lubchenco, J., & Melillo, J. M. (1997). Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. *Science*, 277, 494–499. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5325.494
- Wang, X., Xu, Z., Lü, X., Wang, R., Cai, J., Yang, S., ... Jiang, Y. (2017). Responses of litter decomposition and nutrient release rate to water and nitrogen addition differed among three plant species dominated in a semi-arid grassland. *Plant and Soil*, 418, 241–253. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11104-017-3288-8
- Wardle, D. A. (2016). Do experiments exploring plant diversity–ecosystem functioning relationships inform how biodiversity loss impacts natural ecosystems? *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 27, 646–653. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12399
- Webb, C. O., Ackerly, D. D., McPeek, M. A., & Donoghue, M. J. (2002). Phylogenies and community ecology. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 33, 475–505. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. ecolsys.33.010802.150448
- Xia, J., & Wan, S. (2008). Global response patterns of terrestrial plant species to nitrogen addition. New Phytologist, 179, 428–439. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02488.x
- Xu, Z., Li, M.-H., Zimmermann, N. E., Li, S., Li, H., Ren, H., ... Jiang, L. (2018). Data from: Plant functional diversity modulates global environmental change effects on grassland productivity. *Dryad Digital Repository*, https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.689hp02
- Xu, Z., Ren, H., Li, M.-H., van Ruijven, J., Han, X., Wan, S., ... Jiang, L. (2015). Environmental changes drive the temporal stability of semi-arid natural grasslands through altering species asynchrony. *Journal of Ecology*, 103, 1308–1316. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2745.12441
- Xu, Z., Wan, S., Zhu, G., Ren, H., & Han, X. (2010). The influence of historical land use and water availability on grassland restoration. *Restoration Ecology*, *18*, 217–225. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1526-100X.2009.00595.x
- Yang, Y., Fang, J., Ma, W., & Wang, W. (2008). Relationship between variability in aboveground net primary production and precipitation in global grasslands. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L23701.
- Yang, H. J., Li, Y., Wu, M. Y., Zhang, Z., Li, L. H., & Wan, S. Q. (2011). Plant community responses to nitrogen addition and increased

precipitation: The importance of water availability and species traits. *Global Change Biology*, *17*, 2936–2944. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02423.x

- Zanne, A. E., Tank, D. C., Cornwell, W. K., Eastman, J. M., Smith, S. A., FitzJohn, R. G., ... Beaulieu, J. M. (2014). Three keys to the radiation of angiosperms into freezing environments. *Nature*, 506, 89–92.
- Zavaleta, E. S., Shaw, M. R., Chiariello, N. R., Thomas, B. D., Cleland, E. E., Field, C. B., & Mooney, H. A. (2003). Grassland responses to three years of elevated temperature, CO₂, precipitation, and n deposition. *Ecological Monographs*, 73, 585–604. https://doi.org/10.1890/02-4053
- Zhang, X., Zwiers, F. W., Hegerl, G. C., Lambert, F. H., Gillett, N. P., Solomon, S., ... Nozawa, T. (2007). Detection of human influence on twentieth-century precipitation trends. *Nature*, 448, 461–465. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06025

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Xu Z, Li M-H, Zimmermann NE, et al. Plant functional diversity modulates global environmental change effects on grassland productivity. *J Ecol.* 2018;00: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12951